

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/727,258	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JOSHI ET AL.		
	<b>Examiner</b> Cam Y T. Truong	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>Art Unit</b> 2169</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	<b>Art Unit</b> 2169	
<b>Art Unit</b> 2169				

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Cam Y T. Truong.

(2) Attorney Christopher R. Christenson.

**Date of Interview:** 2 December 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative accepted Examiner to amend claims to put claims in condition for allowance. The term "the like" on page 8, lines 23, includes only hardware devices.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

/Cam Y Truong/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)